

reclassification of an employee. But the way the computers work for the state, if the personal service limitation is exceeded by \$1.00, the whole thing kicks. So it is necessary to make that adjustment of \$500. The second amendment is language only. The same language is in the appropriation for '86-87. This would affect our current fiscal year, '85-86. What it says is that in the case of the Department of Social Services...excuse me. In the Department of Institutions and the Department of Social Services, both, this affects Title 20 funds that go back to community based retardation. The current budget bill...law, puts a dollar amount of federal funds that are going to the community based mental retardation.

PRESIDENT: Call of the house is raised.

SENATOR WARNER: Because of the Gramm-Rudman effect, there would be about a 4.3 percent reduction in those federal funds.

PRESIDENT: The call of the house is raised.

SENATOR WARNER: And the language that would be adopted would clarify that that reduction would be passed on through to correspond with the amount of federal funds we are getting. If we do not adopt this, then there are other services, within Social Services, of equal value that would have to take all of the reduction. This is a more equitable way to do it, and it is consistent with next year's appropriation. Finally, the third amendment actually adds some dollars to the deficit bill. It adds an amount of \$46,885. I don't think that is what it's going to take. This is an amount that in the event that those individuals that are currently working for the Liquor Control Commission as inspectors choose, on their own, not to transfer, they are fully eligible to transfer, but if any one chooses not to transfer, then this is an amount for all of them not to choose, that they'd have their accumulated sick pay, and accumulated vacation time that they are entitled to upon retirement. It is my understanding that there probably is only one, and the amount, I believe is around \$2,300 or \$2,700. But in order to be sure that no individual is adversely affected, why the full amount, if all of them decided not to transfer, is appropriated that is earmarked for that purpose only. What is not utilized will lapse back to the General Fund on June 30 and, therefore, would not be an expenditure, but it does ensure fair treatment for these...each of these individuals in the event they choose not to make the transfer. So I would move the adoption of the amendment.