

this in an override as well. There will be a later issue when we get to dealing with revenue that could be more significant to the counties and the cities, but particularly the cities, than this particular override and that will be dealing with the funds they receive from Highway Funds. So I would urge that we have perhaps done all of the overrides we need to do, that we are at a point where receipts, a nice 4 percent reserve, an adequate cash reserve, could be maintained, but if we continue with additional overrides, then we will begin to create additional problems on 1251 in which there is no logical place to stop. So while I recognize the logic and the merits of Senator Pappas's motion, it seems to me that there are factors that outweigh the need to override on this particular one, that in fact, probably no additional motions should be approved to further increase the budget, but certainly this one there is not a need in view of the other factors including the authorization which, if enacted, will grant to all cities across the state the right for individual city sales tax. Because of those factors, I would urge that you not approve the motion.

PRESIDENT: Seeing no other speakers, Senator Pappas, do you wish to close?

SENATOR PAPPAS: Yes, Mr. President. I feel that if people listen closely to Senator Warner's comments, I think you could tell by the tone of his voice that he sincerely did not really mean that we should sustain this veto. I think from the tone of his voice you could tell that deeper down inside that he is hoping that we override this veto also. I might point out also that one of the first things that will be coming up that will probably pass is LB 911, that is the local option for the liquor licenses renewals and denials, and the city is going to require quite a bit more money to carry these cases through district court. So that is another justifiable reason, but on the serious side, if you look back down, back in '82, Charlie Thone line-item cut in '82 session. The cuts he made applied only to the political subdivisions, the municipalities, and just 2 percent to the other ones. The Legislature, look what we did today, the \$800,000 for the MAT, the Metro transport. The counties, we gave them back \$475,000, the small watershed program, \$200,000. Cities themselves, municipalities, they deal in health, they deal in education. They have libraries and other things to fund. I think it is only fair, on the fairness, and I would be very willing after this one which would make it fair all the way around to call it quits to other budget increases. I don't think this one will be the one that breaks