

comment on the point of order?

SENATOR LANDIS: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, I, too, have questions about this, but it is a procedure that has been done before. We did it on April 4th on LB 835 and it was done at that time and permitted by the Chair and the question was raised as to whether or not it was acceptable at that time. I would think that the practice should perhaps be discussed by the Rules Committee, but at this point it is the precedent of the session.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Yes.

SENATOR WARNER: Before you...I want to make an issue of it today, so I'll just alert you, whatever, I want to be recognized at the proper time.

PRESIDENT: Very well. Anyone...you are raising the point of order, are you, Senator? Senator Warner, are you making an issue of it right now?

SENATOR WARNER: Yes.

PRESIDENT: All right. Now we'll have a discussion on the point of order. Senator Vard Johnson, anything? Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR DECAMP: Mr. President, I have more than a passing interest in the E & R thing, because as Senator Warner correctly stated, it opens up a mischief can that's even bigger than something I might dream up. It was first used the other day by my good friend Senator Schmit on LB 835. When I thought I had him blocked at all corners, then he came running through with the amendment on E & R which gutted the bill and inserted a completely new bill, which I thought was innovative and raised some question at that time, but it didn't seem to register, but it does open up a can of worms. So I would suggest it not be followed and that you not allow major amendments in E & R. However, in addition to suggesting that I would suggest the following which you might just give some thought to and I tried to put the pieces together here kind of carefully. You want this amendment, as I understand it, Senator Landis. Is it...you're offering it?

SENATOR LANDIS: Yes.