

their cause to petition and then repeal this effort. And I want for the record to make it clear that there are some changes in this Initiative that some of us are willing to accept. Now it doesn't go nearly as far as some people want. Obviously, the task force recommendations which get into additional areas of concern are too quickly coming at us and the wording is too difficult for us to discern whether or not it is effective or not, and we are not willing to support a couple of those recommendations dealing with cattle feeding, and dealing with the definitions. But even in those areas, it is worth looking at over the course of the next year, and perhaps in the next year or two, we could look at those areas, obviously very concerned about its impact, but at least giving some time to think about it. But I truly think that it is a mistake to bracket this resolution. Even if you want to see it go away, don't want to see it repealed, even if you want to see it repealed, whatever position you take, those of us that see a chance for some improvement in the constitutional amendment want to have that opportunity to discuss it. Senator Vickers has got some ideas, some of the rest of us have some ideas, and we would like to see something pursued on this, and rather than throwing it out the window and saying this is terrible, bad, and there is no hope for it whatsoever, let's petition and repeal it completely, I think there is a way to improve it and this legislative process could do that, and I am not going to support any subterfuge that would take away the intent of that resolution through any changes we were going to propose but to refine it and improve upon it makes sense, and it is the responsibility of the Legislature to do that. So I would ask you not to indefinitely bracket but to go forward with some of the technical amendments that would improve Initiative 300.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Vickers and there are about seven other lights on for recognition. Senator Vickers.

SENATOR VICKERS: Mr. President, members, it is strange how issues reverse themselves and how suddenly what was good a couple of months ago is no longer any good. I remember the last time this particular issue was before this body. As Senator Chambers pointed out, it had been indefinitely postponed at one time. Then there was a motion to bring it back to the floor, and in the discussion at that time, as I recall, there was a proposal to create a study resolution, to create a study mechanism, and the attempt and what the proponents of LR 16 were saying was, if you will allow us to have a study mechanism, go ahead and revive the bill, have the study, and then at the end