

we went then and that is why one company who was on board has now jumped off, Great Plains. No, don't be misled to think that Telephone Association is opposing, they aren't, just Great Plains. Why? Why would Great Plains jump off? Because they have a better deal in terms of no control under the '82 law than they would under this because of Senator Lamb's amendments on this. They had no requirements for public hearings then under that old law, they do under this one.

SPEAKER NICHOL: One minute.

SENATOR DECAMP: They have a 30 percent right to increase without interference under the old law...

SPEAKER NICHOL: One minute.

SENATOR DECAMP: ...which Senator Lamb helped get through. They have only 10 percent, for all practical purposes, under Senator Lamb's amendment for this. So, yes, we have got tests. We have pioneered it. We have seen how it works and the people that did it were Senator Schmit and it apparently works. The final thing has to do with the costs. You may remember during the great, those of you who were here, litter bill battles when some agency wanted to stop a bill they would put a false fiscal note and I use the litter bill because it was one of the classic examples. They put a cost of two hundred and some thousand it would cost to implement it and, in fact, it cost 35,000, turned out to be the number one program in the United States, as you have read recently in editorials in the World Herald and other, and national publications. We ended up with the number one litter clean-up program in the United States now. What the Public Service Commission is suggesting with their fiscal note is that all the new regulation proposed by Senator Schmit costs nothing but when you take away regulation it costs money.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Time is up.

SENATOR DECAMP: I ask you to advance the bill.

SPEAKER NICHOL: The question is the advancement of the bill. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk, please. Pardon me. Record vote has been called for.

CLERK: (Record vote read. See pages 1981-82 of the Legislative Journal.) 25 ayes, 18 nays, Mr. President, on the motion to