

SENATOR HARTNETT: Reverse order.

SENATOR CARSTEN: Roll call vote has been requested in reverse order. The question is, shall the Hartnett amendment to the committee amendments be adopted? The Clerk will call the roll, please.

CLERK: (Roll call vote taken. See page 1950 of the Legislative Journal.) 20 ayes, 8 nays, Mr. President.

SENATOR CARSTEN: The motion fails. Back on the committee amendments, Vard Johnson amendments, excuse me. The call is raised but don't go too far, please. Senator Vard Johnson.

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, I would offer this enormous packet of amendments. This is the work product of the Miscellaneous Subjects Committee. I am sorry that Senator Hartnett's amendment was not attached because I know that leaves him with some small problem for Bellevue. I suspect, however, that we can work it out as time progresses and so that there is a better understanding on the floor as to exactly what that issue was. So do not despair, Senator Hartnett. Good things sometimes come out of misery. In any event, I would offer the packet. You have a one-page synopsis somewhere on your desk so you don't have to read the full packet but I will tell you again this is a product of the Miscellaneous Subjects Committee, the Revenue Committee, Senator Labedz, Senator Schmit, and a variety of other folk who are very thoughtful on the gambling issues.

SENATOR CARSTEN: Thank you, Senator Johnson. Now, Senator Hefner, on the committee amendments.

SENATOR HEFNER: Mr. President and members of the body, I support the amendment. I didn't vote for the Hartnett amendment at first because I didn't know exactly what was in it, but towards the end after we got it deciphered, why I don't believe it is as bad as what it sounded. But the reason that I would like to see this amendment go is because it will raise another three-quarters of a million dollars, and this will be used by the Department of Revenue to police the pickle cards that we have in our state, and we certainly need something done in that area. This amendment, as proposed now, is approximately or about the same as 873 which the Miscellaneous Subjects Committee held a public hearing on, advanced it, but we are running out of time and we feel that we need this bill, and so it is in this