

was an agreement reached by both the "CPAs", certified public accountants, and "the other accountants", public accountants or whatever they are called. The agreement was that they, the non-CPAs, would be allowed to continue doing what they were doing in the past, which was compilations, compilations for grain buyers. The compilations are simply, so to speak, following general accounting principles, adding up the numbers, adding up the numbers for the truckers and the farmers involved so they can submit their balance sheet, I guess you would call it, to the Public Service Commission. Okay, so understand it is all agreed by both sides that this would be allowed. That bill was passed 44 to 0 here in the Legislature. Then through, call it a fluke of the fickle finger of fate, through a fluke of that finger of fate another bill going through the Agriculture Committee, just dealing with grain buyers, had an amendment put on it who would say whether it was accidental, whether a lobbyist erred in accepting it, whether somebody made a blunder, whatever. The problem was, the problem was that that amendment put onto that grain buyer's act undid the agreement reached by the accountants and the CPAs in their sunset law. So we came to the end of the session and when this was discovered it was agreed that I would get up on the floor, even though I suggested you better amend it because if you don't amend it, my getting up and babbling something on the floor doesn't change the law, but anyway it was suggested that the whole solution would be for me to get up and chatter about how it was really intended this when something else was intended and the bill was passed. Then the Attorney General said, well, this is what the law says and this is what DeCamp and Rod Johnson said on the floor that this was all an error but that really doesn't matter, you are going to change the law. So the amendment undertaken by the Agricultural Committee because they were the one that, so to speak, kind of made the fickle finger of fate occur, the Agriculture Committee took the responsibility this year to get this amendment and they put it in a separate bill. Well, that bill didn't make it because of time constraints, so the understanding was that amendment would be put on this particular bill and, of course, the CPAs, maybe not unreasonably so but unfairly, the CPAs have taken the attitude if we got the cake accidentally delivered into our lap and we can lock these guys out, even though maybe we didn't intend it, we would be kind of fools to give it up. And that is the posture they are taking now and they are doing it rather successfully. And so I get to where I began this conversation, there is nobody here to vote on it because I think a lot of people...