

as a matter of fact that there is no intention to make the state morally or ethically liable and I think that suffices as a fairly decent public record of what the Legislature of 1986 intended.

SENATOR HABERMAN: But you cannot say as an attorney, if it went to court, that we could not be found legally liable?

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: I think that as an attorney, if we say we are not legally liable, we genuinely are not legally liable. I think what really happens though is that ten years from now if there is a default on the bond, the bondholders come back to the Legislature ten years from now and say we recognize you are not legally liable but look at this kind of creature you established and you are morally liable, and I just want to make certain that when our successors have to deal with this issue, they can say that the Legislature of 1986 said clearly it never intended for them to even be morally liable.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Thank you, Senator Johnson. I just hope I am not here ten years from now when they come back. Thank you very much.

SENATOR LANDIS: You may not be alone in that, Senator Haberman, who knows. Senator Nichol.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I would just like to ask Senator Warner a question, are we talking about 1 million or 2 million?

SENATOR LANDIS: Senator Warner, will you respond?

SENATOR WARNER: One of each, two.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Two. Do we have the money for it?

SENATOR LANDIS: Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Based upon the green sheets as they now exist in assuming 25 million additional revenue as the green sheet does, why this would be in excess of what was available on that green sheet. Now offsetting that, of course, is there will be some vetoes, I assume. There may be further amendments that will reduce other appropriations. So, I can't answer your question specifically.