

CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays to cease debate, Mr. President.

SENATOR LANDIS: Senator Chambers, to close on your amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: First I want to say, Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, I only have one other amendment up there and I'm not going to try to tie this bill up, but it's a very serious bill, and I would like to be known while I am in the Legislature and after I am gone, that I stood against harsh, unreasonable, illogical penalties, and I always will do it. I noticed that Senator Higgins and Senator Abboud had great trouble pronouncing some of the words in this bill. Neither one of them could bring themselves to say the words, provide to any person, which are the words that my amendment will modify because you need not have money involved. You heard Senator Abboud say, well if you rent, there is the exchange of money; if you sell it, there is the exchange of money; if you trade, there is something of value, and what are the next words? Or provide to any person, and he stopped, because there need not be the exchange of anything of value, just show it to somebody. He saw what the words said. Senator Higgins got to talking about this and she was saying a series of things that will or won't happen. Then when she got to the words, provide to any person, she wouldn't articulate them because when she read it she saw that it meant just what I am saying, that boys or girls can hand these things around to each other and they come under the purview of this bill. Why does she keep talking about homosexual conduct among boys? That's not what the bill talks about. The bill talks about what I mentioned to you, fondling the breasts, and it specifies, if the person be female even the developing breasts, specifies female. Why does she keep talking about homosexual boys? Because the police brought down here the most inflammatory pictures they had, but they wouldn't show you the other kind that are also condemned by this bill. Why didn't she stand up here and say, Ernie is wrong when he says that a Playboy picture of the kind that I described would not bring somebody under the provisions of this bill, because it would and they know it, but they didn't know it before I started talking about it. And when they make a bad bargain they hug it all the tighter, and if they knew it, they should have acknowledged it and stopped talking only about bestiality and homosexuality. It's a bad bill and I will continue to oppose these types of things. Now, what Senator Higgins should have mentioned as she was talking about the necessity of possessing with intent, she said you've got to possess it with the intent to sell, or to trade, or to rent, then she stopped and did not say, possess