

out. And I don't think any of them, but one, actually adds money. The first amendment is a...deals with the salary limit for the Supreme Court, and the district courts. As you recall those are being merged. And in the process of the computations \$1 million of salaries was left out of the personal service limitation. But there is no change in the appropriation. The second one also deals with the salary limit. It was the Uniform Commercial Code that was moved over to the Secretary of State's Office. It retains, this is cash funds, but that is immaterial. It retains a position at 34,000 that currently is there, but in moving to the Secretary of State's Office is not included. Then there is a reappropriation of unexpended funds in the deficiency bill for data processing for teacher certification. The purpose for that is that the money that, and this is cash funds as well, but the funds that were authorized in the deficiency bill will not all be utilized by the end of the fiscal year. So there is a need to reappropriate the unexpended balance to complete that data processing. And there is a salary limit increase in the Department of Insurance which is a reflection of two job reclassifications which have been approved by the Department of Personnel. There is another to change the salary limit for the veterans home, the new one at Scottsbluff, the dollar amount of 341,392 is appropriated, but the personal service limitation for that was left out of the bill, so that reinstates that necessary language. Then there is...the next one is there is an increase in the federal fund estimate for the Military Department, it was left out by the analyst, of 487,808. That merely reflects the federal funds that in fact will be there. There is another authorization of \$3,000 to the increase in the salary limit of the Coordinating Commission which reflects additional funds for the interim director, could have been put in the deficiency bill, was not. The next is all language which has some importance. I indicated this morning that the state colleges cash funds were now appropriated as an estimate, and they have indicated that they may increase their tuition for students over and above what had been anticipated to be tuition by 5 percent. All that money, if they proceed with it, would be utilized to increase salaries for faculty at the four state college campuses. What this language does is authorizes an increase in their personal service limitation at each of the campuses by an amount equal to the increase from the tuition, should they do it, but further point states that those additional personal service limitation will not automatically be built into their continuation budget next year. And if they do it they may well need to anticipate continuing that level of salaries from tuition and have no part of it come from General Funds as a part