

heard the expression, as honest as a judge. And I was wondering who this dastardly person could be who is going to treat a judge so. Then I read that his name is Roseberg, and Roseberg has been in my office. And I said, by God, I didn't know he was the kind of person who'd ride around shooting in the front of a judge's house. Then I read on and the judge said, after all these comments, I have no evidence that Mr. Roseberg, his family, or friends were involved. So then I began to ask myself, because maybe I'm getting slow in my old age, I'm almost a half a century old now, and a grandfather who is opposed to this so called grandfather's rights nonsense, that is the way we do. And I'm showing you how the judges' mental processes probably run. He's talking about one thing, and then he's just here and there, and every where over the countryside, like I've done in the last 30 seconds. I say, why would the judge do this, why will he give every indication that this individual is responsible for having shots fired into his house then say he has no evidence to connect this person with it, so why mention the person. And I'm at a loss. So then I begin to go back from where the judge is to where he originated. We are products of our environment, our experiences, our education. Could it be that the law school was the cause of it? Were seeds of irresponsibility planted in that individual as a student in law school? I don't know whether you graduated from Creighton or Nebraska, but I'll tell you one thing, in these days when we say that money is so tight and we've got to cut off nonessential programs in order that the essential ones may survive, why duplicate, at taxpayers expense, a service being offered at no taxpayers expense, namely the production of lawyers, which Creighton does. There are too many lawyers in Nebraska anyway, a thinly sparse...a sparsely populated state of 1,500,000 people and you've got over 4,000 lawyers with the vast majority of them infesting...concentrated in Lincoln and Omaha. Rest of the state doesn't count. Now is it really essential to the mission that the university is to perform to have a law school as an appendage? I don't think that it is, but there are differences of opinion on this subject. I talked to the dean today, and he brought some points to my attention that he wanted me to consider. The dean is a very sincere man, and he's trying to turn the law school in a direction which I think is appropriate. One person, or a few people cannot immediately bring a moving institution, such as a law school, even though it moves with the speed of a glacier, to a stop, reverse it and move it in a contrary direction. The state of the law is one of repose, being still. It takes a greater amount of energy to start something to moving which is stationary than to keep something