

April 1, 1986

LB 1250A

SENATOR CARSTEN: Senator Harris, on the Warner amendment. No? Okay. Any further closing, Senator Warner? The question is, shall the Warner amendment to LB 1250A be adopted? All those in favor vote aye, those opposed no. We're voting on the advancement of LB 1250A, on the Warner amendment excuse me. I'm sorry. The Warner amendment to LB 1250A. Have you all voted? Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays on adoption of Senator Warner's amendment, Mr. President.

SENATOR CARSTEN: The Warner amendment is adopted. Excuse me, Mr. Clerk, before we proceed we have two guests of Senator Hefner this morning, Mr. and Mrs. Don Zimmer from Pierce, Nebraska, under the north balcony. If you would rise, we would be happy to welcome you to the Unicameral. Thank you. Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Warner would move to amend the bill. (Second Warner amendment appears on page 1825 of the Legislative Journal.)

SENATOR CARSTEN: Senator Warner, on your amendment.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, this is for the teachers in the Department of Education, a total of \$197,795. This one is different. The '86-87 salary has not been ordered by the Commission on Industrial Relations. Although the previous years were, this is, in essence, is an estimate, a calculated amount of what would have been required should this group go to the CIR for '86-87 and the reason for offering it, even though this is not an order, I cannot see any difference between, and there is no difference, between those teachers that are employed as a part of Corrections, Social Services or Department of Institutions from those that are employed in Department of Education. And if there is to be like treatment for people doing the same thing, why then this amendment ought to be adopted for that reason, but you are not adopting it because of a CIR order on '86-87. But I think to be consistent it would be appropriate to do because they are individuals doing the same type of work.

SENATOR CARSTEN: Is there any discussion on the second Warner amendment? If not, do you have anything to close, Senator Warner?