

PRESIDENT: All right. Senator Warner, do you wish to speak on this money amendment?

SENATOR WARNER: Well, we are on the adoption of the resolution now itself, right?

PRESIDENT: That is right. We are on...

SENATOR WARNER: I'd rise to support the adoption of the resolution as far as the...

PRESIDENT: No, Senator, we are on, still, the Johnson amendment to correct the printing of the...

SENATOR WARNER: He withdrew one.

PRESIDENT: ...amendment to \$300,000.

SENATOR WARNER: Oh, oh, I'm for that too. Mr. President, let me indicate...

PRESIDENT: We are adding 49,000.

SENATOR WARNER: ...that we are not appropriating the money here. This is a resolution. The adoption of this does not appropriate the money. And there still will be an opportunity to make that debate if and when it is decided to add it to the budget. Senator Johnson did make reference to the fact, and Senator Carsten has discussed the fact of whether or not the money is within the proposed budget for the Legislative Council. And it is true that it should be in the vicinity of \$300,000 reappropriated, over and above the request of the agency of the Legislature in next year's budget. I also understood Senator Johnson to say he didn't anticipate more than 175,000 to be expended next year. I think because of the kind of study this is, and in the resolution here, that to recognize that you are talking about a substantial study, a significant study, the authorization within the resolution of this dollar amount tends to give the credence to what I really think is intended to have happened. May well take less money. And obviously it will not all be in next year's. But I don't think, at this point, there is a need...this is not really where we need to make the argument as to the actual dollars for '86-87. And Tuesday, when we deal with the budget bill, the Chairman of the Exec Board and the Exec Board itself reviews the budget if they feel additional money needs to be added it can. I'm sure we are not going to