

out the shortcoming of the original Senator DeCamp amendment and I think that this issue is important enough that it ought to be discussed in detail, one by one, each miserable step and painful step following the other. And so I would oppose the attempt by Senator DeCamp to treat them all as merely a single amendment.

PRESIDENT: I think that the amendments, severally offered, would be divisible anyway so actually...

SENATOR DECAMP: Okay, do 2707.

PRESIDENT: Very well.

SENATOR DECAMP: Mr. President, 2707 merely eliminates a technical drafting error of a double negative. (AM2707 appears on page 1687 of the Legislative Journal.)

PRESIDENT: Any discussion of that amendment? If that's the only amendment to the DeCamp amendment now on the double negative, any discussion? If not, the motion is the adoption of that amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay. Have you all voted? Record the vote, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 18 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of AM2707.

PRESIDENT: AM2707 is adopted.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator DeCamp would move to amend. Senator, I have 2706 in front of me. (AM2706 appears on page 1687 of the Legislative Journal.)

PRESIDENT: 2706, Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR DECAMP: Mr. President, again it's minor, but I think significant in terms of satisfying some individuals. It changes, from 30 to 60 days, the number of days during which individuals, if they were going to get a petition or were going to challenge the rate, the number of days they would have to challenge it. It changes that number from 30 to 60 days. It changes the notice to 60 days to make it conform with the other thing. So it changes that time frame to double. Again, a concession that some have said would help the consumer, fine.