

in the first place so that this body knew what it was doing. I suggest that there would not have been the Barrett, Baack, Hoagland amendment, at least to the extent that it is now being drafted that Senator Hoagland refers to as an omnibus amendment. I do not think that Final Reading is the place upon which we hang omnibus amendments on a bill of this type. Let me suggest to you that this does affect the procedure by which the temporary restraining order is obtained. Let me suggest to you that if you are knowledgeable, if you are educated, if you are wealthy, if you have access to attorneys, you can handle the procedure very capably, but a vast majority of people do not have that legal background, do not have access to the resources that some of us do. Therefore, there is a very distinct difference in the standards of justice that prevail in the system. I just want to point out, on this floor of 49...there are 49 of us. There are a dozen or so, half a dozen of you who are legally trained, give or take a few. I would suggest that those lawyers know what is going on. In this specific instance, I don't think very many of the rest of us do know. As I said, it doesn't look good out of the eyes to me. It should not be attached to this bill, and I would suggest, and it is all proper and good procedure, but I would suggest that the bill would not have perhaps become law had it had to walk upon its own merits. Now, you offer an amendment from Senator Baack and Senator Barrett and I am familiar with that procedure. I have done it myself many times. When I feel I am a little bit weak, I try to add some strength to an amendment or a bill by bringing on some more credibility, and the credibility factor improves with this bill, this amendment of Senator Hoagland's when he brings on Senator Barrett and Senator Baack. And I don't fault those gentlemen because I am sure they are sincere in what they are attempting to do, but I want to point out again, that on this floor far too often we adopt amendments and we proceed in a manner that we cannot justify at a later date. Senator Vard Johnson indicated that when the situation referred to in a divorce takes place that the other party provides automatically, I believe he said, for the notification. I want to say, for example, someone used Senator Jackie Smith's name as a matter of record of someone who would need to be notified. Well, I don't know if Senator Smith can avoid notification or not, but I can tell you one thing, there are individuals who know how to avoid notification, who are very adept at it.