

she is concerned about injury and files an affidavit to that effect. However, I am concerned about her burden of proof if this amendment is not removed. The question I have is this, if broken bones heal, is the injury irreparable? Ladies and gentlemen, I raised some of the questions with this amendment. I object to the amendment. I object particularly to the fact that I do not think it was handled in the manner which it should have been. I want to point out to you, you are going to be offered, as Senator Hoagland has said, an omnibus amendment. I do not think the amendment belongs on LB 516. I believe LB 516 was designed to do something differently. It ought to be dealt with in that concept. Certainly, this language should not be attached to LB 516 at this time. It was a separate bill. What became of that bill is a matter of record. It was handled by the Judiciary Committee, I am sure very adeptly, and I think that it should still be handled in that manner. I want to point out once again that it is easy for us to speak about the necessity of limitation of bills, it is easy for us to speak about the methods whereby we can operate on this floor, and many times we are forced by virtue of conditions to adopt a bill, to combine bills, and that sort of thing because we are under time restraints and that sort of thing. But I want to emphasize that this amendment was adopted as a small amendment simply to comply with the federal processes. That is not the entire scope of it. Again I want to tell you, I am not an attorney. I have no credentials to debate the issue with my good friends and the most eloquent spokesmen of this body, Senator Vard Johnson and Senator Peter Hoagland, but I can tell you when something doesn't look right, doesn't smell right, and doesn't...will not perform correctly. This amendment goes far beyond what I believe was the original intent. I, therefore, ask that you adopt my amendment and remove it from LB 516.

**SPEAKER NICHOL:** Senator Hoagland, please, then Senator Vard Johnson.

**SENATOR HOAGLAND:** Mr. Speaker and colleagues, I would rise in strenuous opposition to Senator Schmit's proposal. What Senator Vard Johnson's language does is it merely writes into the Nebraska statutes the requirement that you must attempt to give notice to the other side before you go to court to secure a temporary restraining order. Now let me give you an example. Let's say that I know Jackie Smith is