

the bill was filed. But before that, not knowing the bill was going to come in, not knowing what was going to happen with this session, I tend to think that we ought not take away the rights of those people from filing those motions. So I would support the Hoagland amendment.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, Senator Wesely indicated he'd support the bill if this amendment were adopted. I'd like to ask Senator Hoagland, for the record, what his position is on the bill should this amendment be adopted.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Senator Chambers, I have serious reservations about repealing alienation of affections as a cause of action. I have no reservations about criminal conversation. So I'm not sure, in the end, whether I'll support the bill if alienation of affection stays in. My preference would be to take that out. But that is not the issue before us now.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So then you're not going to offer an amendment to take out alienation of affections?

SENATOR HOAGLAND: I don't intend to do that today, no.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: All right.

PRESIDENT: Senator Labeledz.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Thank you, Mr. President. I, too, rise in support of Senator Hoagland's amendment. I'm very familiar with the Vacek case in Omaha. He's a young man from south Omaha. I have spoke to at least three or four attorneys in Omaha that believe that 877, as written now in Final Reading, has some constitutionality problems. I spoke to Senator Hoagland this morning and said that that would have to come out because of the pending cases, especially the Vacek case that is under appeal. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Senator Pirsch.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Thank you, Mr. President. I guess I am going to support Senator Hoagland's amendment, although I feel very much, or I came today feeling that we should kill