

SENATOR DECAMP: How many bills have we placed...how many different bills, that were before the Legislature, have we now got placed in LB 899 already?

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: LB 529.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Senator DeCamp, let me say this about this amendment, we are trying to do good things here. They are not controversial things.

SENATOR DECAMP: I didn't ask about the beneficialness, goodness. I just need to know how many other bills. I'm trying to make another point.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: It will be done, this will be done very quickly, and it's very beneficial.

SENATOR DECAMP. Pedro, I did not ask the virtues of the amendment. I am asking the issue of how many other bills are already in 899 (sic) that we've planted.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Well, it depends on how you define another bill, Senator DeCamp. We've got, oh, five or six different things in this bill, all of which were unanimously agreed on by the Judiciary Committee.

SENATOR DECAMP: Okay.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: We are trying to save the Legislature time is what we're trying to do.

SENATOR DECAMP: I guess my point is, my point is this, and I wish you'd all take note of it, we talk about bill limitations all the time. And the alternative to bill limitations is this process, it seems. The process is you keep putting, one after another, bills into a bill on the floor. And I don't necessarily say that is good or bad. I make no judgement on it. But it is a fact of life that seems to occur. And I wonder if it shouldn't be done mostly in committee. If you are going to put a whole bunch of bills in, do it in committee, package them together there rather than two and three at a time on each stage of debate out here. As I say, this one is already pretty well loaded up. So maybe you better let that one go before you put another ornament on and it actually collapses the tree. No? Well, just a thought.