

in there to "adversely"?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No.

SENATOR DECAMP: I think that would be in your favor, wouldn't it, for some of the purposes you want to accomplish.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Why don't we just drop it and leave...so that people follow what Senator DeCamp and I are talking about, it is whether or not the visitation would significantly interfere with the parental relationship. You know, that is the area we are dealing with. I want to strike "significantly" so that if it interferes at all.

SENATOR CARSTEN: You may continue, Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR DECAMP: Okay. It just occurs to me that there probably is support for this bill on the basis that...on the basis that a lot of people believe that it is time for government to do something in one different direction than they have traditionally. What am I talking about? We have got a hundred laws on the books telling how the government can intervene, supposedly, to help the family or to correct this or to send this direction. And all the interveners that the Governor, government puts in the way, they generally have no interest in the family, they are paid employees, they generate a lot of cost to the other taxpayers, it seems reasonable, doesn't it, that when you have people who legitimately because of personal feelings, not because of money, but because of relationships, because of love, if you would, want to be involved in that family relationship, that we should put a minimal of barriers there rather than creating them on that side and putting more government people in on the other. So I would support the amendment that is being offered. I had an amendment that would have gone a little further but this is a reasonable middle of the road on it and I guess I wanted to encourage you to support the bill. My concern is that a number of people have left. Even as I walked down the hall here contemplating the same thing myself, I noted some others were leaving and they said they weren't coming back for the day. And that is why I asked Senator Chambers the questions because the two amendments, without my saying I support them at this point, the two amendments I don't think radically interfere with the legislation. I don't think they