

sometime in the past...many times in the past. I genuinely believe if you are going to make...if you need a supervising agency over two state systems and then you have got the community colleges, but if you need a super...not a super, but if you need a coordinating commission to bring two together, it would make more sense to me in structure to have one governing board that would have to address all of the issues rather than superimposing another layer on top of that to try and get them to work together. I have never been convinced, nor am I now, that you will in the final analysis get competing institutions, and that is what they are, competing institutions to sit down and agree on who gets to do what. It seems to me that every time they end up agreeing that everybody does everything and we end up spending more money. And I wish, I wish what Senator Vickers...I wish I could concur in what Senator Vickers envisions would happen. One thing he did say that I think I totally agree with and that is the person who is the director, in fact, makes the difference. Back in the middle seventies we were doing role and mission. We were running around the country, somewhat, contacting a lot of places. The one thing I repeatedly suggested was that where they had coordinating commissions it totally depended upon the director and they were either acceptable or they weren't acceptable to the institutions that were being governed either...they either kind of worked together or they fought. And so I merely rise not because of lateness hour and not to go on at any great length, but I cannot support the bill because I have a basic conceptual difference as to what the end result would be notwithstanding, and I don't disagree with what Senator Vickers is envisioning would happen, I don't believe it can happen. And so I would much prefer if we are going to make a structural change, that we just up and do one governing board and not attempt to put another layer of an agency over at least the University and the state colleges. Community colleges is a little different situation and I appreciate they wouldn't be involved there nor would I propose them to be, but I think much of the problems of coordinating between the two-year and vocational type schools and the four-year institutions would be simpler resolved with role and mission where it is clearly stated what things the two-year colleges will not do or what things the four-year colleges will not do and let it go there. I can't imagine that this to be effective will not cost a great deal more...