

legislation. I just don't want to encourage it anymore than it's already encouraged in the movies, on television, in books. But that does not mean that I expect 48 other senators to agree with my opinion. And everyone certainly has a right to disagree and say, Marge Haggins, you are full of baloney. I'm willing to accept that. I'm merely standing here reciting a different point of view that perhaps hasn't been considered before. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Senator Chambers. There appear to be no other lights, so I'll recognize you to close.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, an interesting case was just brought to my attention. It was written to the Judiciary Committee. There was a Nebraska couple, the man left his wife and got a divorce, and went out to California and married another woman, and he had four children by his first wife. He came back to Nebraska and started talking to his former wife. They reconciled, and you know what is happening now? This family unit, which came back together, is now the subject of an alienation of affection suit by the second wife. If the man had gone out to California and stayed there, and his original wife had come out to California, there would be no alienation of affection suit because California does not recognize that. But he came back to Nebraska, where there is such a cause. So now, while he's with his original wife, he faced the alienation of affection suit and they are now in a settlement that was worked out for \$5,000 and \$3,000 in attorney's fees. That is what has happened in a case of a reconciliation because of the existence of an alienation of affection suit. Another point that I think I should make is the statement put in the Florida law. By the way, there is a trend to wipe these out. Twenty-two states have wiped them out, four have been wiped out as a result of judicial decisions and the District of Columbia, four other states say there can be an alienation of affection suit, but not to recover money, only to enjoin somebody from interfering in an ongoing, existing marriage. But this is the language put in the Florida statute as findings and this was in 1964. "Whereas, the remedies provided for by law for the enforcement of action based upon alleged...upon alienation of affections have been subjected to grave abuses, causing extreme annoyance, embarrassment, humiliation, and pecuniary damage to many persons wholly innocent and free of any