

March 5, 1986

LB 1038

skip 289 today because I believe it will be a long bill and we'll go to 1038 and perhaps we can handle that today. LB 1038.

CLERK: Mr. President, LB 1038 was a bill introduced by Senator Lowell Johnson and a number of the members. (Title read.) It was read on January 16 of this year. It was referred to the Appropriations Committee for public hearing. The bill was advanced to General File. There are Appropriations Committee amendments pending.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Warner, please.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, this...LB 1038 is the bill that was introduced, it's a capital construction. It includes the large animal clinic that will be located at Clay Center and the food processing researching facility and equipment that will be located at the Institute of Agriculture and a portion at Scottsbluff. It's a response to the change that was provided in the federal legislation that previously dealt, as you recall, with the veterinary college. And under the provisions that currently are pending, federally, if the state decides to match the available federal funds, there would be a provision under the federal requirement of \$3 million, half of which was to be matched for the large animal clinic at Clay Center and an amount of five and a half million of federal funds to be matched for the food processing equipment and facilities at the Institute of Agriculture in Scottsbluff. The committee amendment clarifies...the original bill had all of the required nonfederal match to be state appropriation. What the committee amendment does is reduces the amount of state funds from 7 million to 5 million for the match and would have 2 million of nonstate funds, contributions, as a part of the \$7 million match and again, of that amount the total of 14.3 million of it would be for the large animal clinic and the balance of it would be the food processing plant. So I'd move adoption of the amendment and state funds for this year would be 282,500 appropriated. For '87-88 it's 2,175,000; '88-89, 2,190,000 and '89-90 it's 352,500. At the same time it would be 50,000 of private funds this year; 200,000 in '87-88; 1,400,000 of private funds in '88-89 and 350,000 the last fiscal year. Those are the dollar amounts that are involved in the committee amendment and I would move their adoption.