

March 5, 1986

LB 1041

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, and this bill was introduced...members of the Legislature...

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Point of order.

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: This is the sports betting amendment?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: This would not be germane to this piece of legislation, I believe.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to read a provision...

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Hold just one second, please, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: All right.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Senator Johnson, with all deference, sir, Senator Chambers has indeed the right to present his amendment before the germaneness issue can be addressed, so, if you'll defer there, I'll let Senator Chambers present his amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman, the amendment that the committee added to LB 1041 amends LB 1041 which was dealing, among other sections in 2-1207 and yet the amendment, the section that they put into that bill comes from 2-1205 which is not the same section and then they do touch 1207, but then they also put 2-1208. They all are from Chapter 2, however, and a provision in LB 72 does come from Chapter 2, Chapter 2-219, so I am in the same chapter that this bill is in. The subject is gambling. It is wagering. It deals with taxing and licensing and also there is a provision in LB 72 on page 2 which says the following: "Sports pool operator or operator shall mean a person holding a license under section 3 of this act who accepts wagers on sporting events by any system or method of wagering other than the," Senator Johnson, "the system of parimutuel wagering taxed under section 2-1208.01," which is in my bill and is in your bill and the subject matter is gambling. I don't think there is any court in the land that would say that any form of gambling is not germane to any bill dealing with gambling. We're not talking about taking a general section