

there are a series of amendments but here is the first one because I want this in the record. In Section 2 insert a new paragraph and this is the language you will find. This would become felonious conduct for any person to distribute, post or lend any written material consisting of information regarding the use, application or making of any firearm, explosive or incendiary device or any technique capable of causing injury or death to persons when such person knows or has reason to know, or intends that such information will be unlawfully employed for use in or in furtherance of a civil disobedience, civil disorder. If you look at LB 772, you will see that what I have done is to track the language which exists right now on page 3 of the bill. But they deal only with an individual uttering words. If it is going to be a crime to utter the words orally, and that is redundant, then it ought to be equally a crime to transmit these words by means of some written medium. So if this bill is really aimed at what the introducers and the supporters indicate, namely making certain that various types of ideas are not discussed, are not disseminated, this amendment ought to be adopted. Remember, we are dealing with first amendment rights throughout the bill, speech, assembly, association and I say even thought. Since there are certain groups that don't want these ideas circulated, why are you going to say that Ernie commits a crime, a Class IV felony if he assembles with some people and talks about these ideas if he has reason to know that somebody among that group may want to use the information to further a civil disorder? But it would be perfectly all right for Ernie to stand in that same assembly, not utter a word, but have the same ideas written and merely distribute them, put them on the wall and point to them without any word being spoken. Is that then all right? Certainly it is. So the way to get around the bill as it exists now without my amendment is to make large charts and write at the top of the chart, we hate so and so, probably Ernie, because these are supposed to be racists groups; we hate Jewish bankers and those who support Jewish bankers; we hate the Tri-Lateral Commission; we hate the Federal Reserve; we hate, in short, everybody and everything. That is what you write up at the top. Then the next line says, we believe that violence is a justifiable means to change conditions you don't like, but you don't say it, you write it and you take the pointer and you point to it. Don't say a word. Then the next step, since violence is a legitimate means to bring about change, what agencies of violence are most effective in an urban setting? That is