

two other alternatives which I have offered, the 5-5-5-10-10 percent reduction versus the 3 percent a year, and then the Social Security reduction to follow the Social Security line. Both of those are very similar in terms of their impact of cost. They are both about one and a half million or 1.2 million, depending, you know, which of the two plans, a year for the schools and 1.2 or 1.5 million for the teachers to put in. That is still a couple million dollars more than what we are now putting in and so there is an improvement in the plan but it is a much more reasonable improvement, much more prudent improvement, and so I would ask again for your consideration of opposing the bill, recognizing that there are alternatives that are better for the state and less costly to the state, yet still helpful to providing an early retirement plan for teachers.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Goodrich, please.

SENATOR GOODRICH: Would Senator Wesely yield to a question, please?

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Wesely, please.

SENATOR WESELY: Certainly.

SENATOR GOODRICH: Don, I listened to you talk but I couldn't figure out what you were after. What were you trying to do? Have you got a motion pending or something, or are you just on the floor asking for no votes? Or what are you...what are you really after?

SENATOR WESELY: I am after a no vote.

SENATOR GOODRICH: And that's all...that's as simple as that, in other words?

SENATOR WESELY: Yes.

SENATOR GOODRICH: You don't have any amendments to offer to improve the bill? You are just saying, stop what you are doing, let's don't do anything.

SENATOR WESELY: Glenn, I have already offered a couple of amendments. I would offer them again if I thought there was any chance, but I am just...