

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, I move that the committee amendment be adopted. This would...requires no additional General Fund appropriation but transfers \$4,000 from each of two programs within our budget and places the total in of 8,000 into 122 to provide the funding for the Special Committee on Economic Development staff as requested by both that group of senators and through the Exec Board.

PRESIDENT: Senator Warner, on your closing, would you care to yield a moment to Senator Haberman who feels like he didn't get a chance to make his full point here. You don't have an amendment as I understand it. I didn't understand that Senator Haberman had an amendment. Senator.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Warner has yielded me some time. Is that correct?

PRESIDENT: That's correct.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Thank you, Senator Warner, I would like to bring to the attention of the body that this is going to cost \$20,000 in the end because I read a report that they are going to ask for \$12,000 next year. It's not going to be finished this year. Now we're taking away \$8,000 and we're adding some money so I want you to know that in the end this is going to cost us \$20,000. Now that's a lot of money. When the Director of Research was hired he came with the highest qualifications of anybody we could find. He drew up a lot of proposals on reorganization. We had in staff on the big plan on land evaluation. That was one of the biggest issues we had. We didn't take money from us. We had in staff and we had outside help. So there are other projects that are just as big, maybe bigger than this, that were handled in another manner and I say we can do the same thing here. Now, Senator Wesely says the Department of Economic Development hasn't done their job. Well, then I don't see him standing up here go aftering (sic) them, criticizing, cutting their budget or finding out why they aren't doing their job and I feel very strong about this. I am not fighting Senator Hannibal, the makeup of a committee or anybody on the committee. That's not the issue. The issue is do we want to spend \$20,000 on something that we're already spending over a million dollars on and that's economic development? That's my whole point. At this time, when we're short of funds, we're going to have to raise