

February 24, 1986 LB 105

relationship the mother and the paternal grandparents, who have been with that child days for 8 years, are denied access to the child. Now under that situation, I think, the paternal grandparents probably ought to be able to go to court to request visitation over that child. Once again, as this handout indicates, and I circulated an internal staff memo to all of you so you would be able to get a skeletal description of the bill, as that memo indicates those paternal grandparents would have three burdens of proof to meet, three things that they would have to establish in court...

SPEAKER NICHOL: One minute.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: ...in order to be entitled to that visitation. They would have to show, number one, that either past or present there was a significant beneficial relationship between themselves and the child. Number two, they'd have to show it is in the best interest of the child. And, number three, they'd have to show that it would not interfere with the relationship between the child and the mother. So for that reason, because those restrictions are in there, I think that this bill ought to apply to an unmarried situation because occasionally there will be a situation where it is in the best interest of the kid to have visitation under those circumstances. So, finally, I would urge you to vote yes and go ahead and adopt subsection (c) that would allow visitation in those limited circumstances. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Labeledz, please.

SENATOR LABEDZ: (Response inaudible.)

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Lowell...

SENATOR L. JOHNSON: I call the question.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Well, okay, that won't be necessary. That would be the last one. Senator Vickers, would you like to close, please.

SENATOR VICKERS: Yes, Mr. President. Mr. President, members, there has been a considerable amount of discussion about the entire bill. I'm not talking to you about the entire bill right now. I want to talk to you about a