

of overtaking and passing? The officer has no way of knowing. So you know how they'd have to enforce this? They'd have some officer sitting with a radar device that would clock the first vehicle and clock the second vehicle, then notify the officer who is going to try to give the ticket, but while they are going through all of this either the distance will become shorter an the second one will overtake the other one, or it will reach the 300 feet and they can't take the measurement and give a ticket. When the introducer of a piece of legislation says he does not know how this would be enforced, why are you, as a Legislature, going to throw that on the officer? We are the ones making the policy. We don't know how it can be carried out. Is the officer magical? Maybe because I said so much about them having the power to tell the future and read minds has made you feel now that they can do what the Legislature doesn't even know how when they pass legislation they are being asked to do. It is a preposterous piece of legislation. I think it is less enforceable than what is on the books now, so if you pass it it is just another one of those silly laws that will be on the books and that will accomplish nothing. I don't think that the troopers, because they will be the ones primarily enforcing this, they will be on the highways dealing with over the road drivers, they will not behave in a fashion that is stupid. I think they will take note of how difficult it would be to get a conviction on this type of a bill. From the way I've dealt with them in court on radar tickets, they know that I'm not just woofing. Under these kind of bills a person charged need not present evidence, need not testify, and can easily show that the state cannot make its case, and you'll have officers out there with no way to enforce the bill. You've done away with the prudent language now, it's got to be the 300 feet. You have made it static. You've restricted and limited the judgement that they are allowed to exercise now. So if they don't make it within that 300 foot limit that you are specifying, they cannot do anything, and you cannot establish, as Senator Withem acknowledged and I can't either, I don't want to say I know more on this than he does, when one vehicle is in the process of passing another. They are not allowed to stay indefinitely in the passing lane for any unreasonable length of time. They can go into the outside lane if they are in the process of passing. But if they are moving just a little faster than the vehicle in front, and are overtaking them at a relatively slow rate of speed, they cannot just move in the passing lane and stay