

State Auditor does but he has 69 employees and that was enough for me. So I left that one intact and went on to the Secretary of State, also has 41 employees and that to me indicates a high level of responsibility so I leave that one intact. Now going back and looking at some of the ones that this amendment would strike the pay raise for, Lieutenant Governor. Quite frankly, I don't mean to belittle our Honorable Lieutenant Governor, but I heard him in a committee hearing testify not too many weeks ago on Senator Barrett's proposal that would remove the Lieutenant Governor from Presiding Officer of the Legislature, that, quite frankly, he needed the work, that the Lieutenant Governor wouldn't have too much to do if he didn't have the opportunity to preside over the Legislature. I think he also made the statement, I hope you will correct me if I am wrong, that Lieutenant Governor is one of the constitutional offices that could probably be abolished. It has been in a lot of other states where the Secretary of State now succeeds to the Governor's office if something should happen to the Governor. So I will leave that and I just don't think that the Lieutenant Governor, the responsibilities of that office with two employees is commensurate with the pay increase of this magnitude. The State Treasurer is also an office that, again, I am sure that most of us don't have any indication of the responsibilities commensurate with those offices. The State Treasurer has nine employees in the office, nine employees as opposed to these others who have 45, 50, 60, whatever. And, again, I don't mean to belittle the office of State Treasurer, but we have all heard numerous comments and I think a lot of them probably with some merit that the Treasurer is another constitutional office that could probably be abolished. So I just have a little bit of an uncomfortable feeling with lumping these things all together. Let's be a little more precise and deliberate in our actions here. Finally, the Public Service Commission. Now, this is one that I know is a bone of contention. I have heard the argument numerous times that they are restricted in what they can do to earn other income. Well, I want to tell you, folks, that we are restricted on what we can do to earn outside income and we are trying to go on \$4800 a year. I hope some of you realize that last year, last year we were down here in session portions of nine calendar months of last year, portions of nine calendar months. I don't think the fact that we don't make hardly any money has reflected on this body and that we have had an inability to attract qualified,