

not a crime by this bill to incite somebody to civil disorder. It is not a crime under this bill to engage in a civil disorder as created by this bill. None of that is a crime. But all of the teaching and the assembling is made a crime because something that occurs in the process of teaching may be used in a civil disorder, which this bill does not make a crime. So you are going to say that about which the teaching may...the teaching may result in somebody using what is taught in a civil disorder, the civil disorder is not made a crime. So why are you going to make that which is taught a crime, when that for which it ultimately might be used is not a crime? That doesn't make sense. You know what Senator Chizek said yesterday about the conspiracy provisions of the law that exist now, they won't work because they cannot be applied to this type of activity because the conspiracy laws only deal with felonies and this type of activity at worst would be a misdemeanor. If the activity is a misdemeanor, why are you going to make teaching about it a felony? You are going to make teaching about something a greater crime than the actual something. That is irrational. That is illogical. That is in keeping with the type of legislating that often occurs in this body. And after going through all of these steps, I do intend to seek an Attorney General's Opinion. And I know it won't make too much difference to the Legislature as a whole, but it makes a difference to me. I think if we are going to talk about the Constitution as a document which has significance and even sanctity when you are dealing in the area of the law, the Constitution ought to be accorded that status in all cases. But a particular group can bring a nonsensical piece of legislation and get people to jump through hoops, trample on the Constitution, give scant attention to fundamental rights that are guaranteed by the Constitution and protect it by the Constitution against infringement by the state. This bill is an atrocity. Why, I would ask...let me ask Senator Chizek a question because maybe I misstated something he said and I do want to be correct. Senator Chizek, may I ask you a question about something that I think I heard you say the other day. Did you say that the reason the conspiracy laws would not be adequate to deal with the situation being handled in this bill is because the conspiracy laws deal only with felonies and that kind of activity would be a misdemeanor? And if I misstated what you said, I would like you to correct me by stating what you did say.