

stress of one kind or another, in one segment or another. We see an incident of hatred or we see an incident of violence and without too much more information, maybe a warning from a patrolman or a warning from some high authority, an Attorney General, or whatever, or a politician. We then react by putting up this blanket that is going to stop the feared evil out there. And, invariably, invariably, historically, it ends up causing more harm in terms, in terms of eroding and destroying those fundamental constitutional principles than it does in terms of preventing the feared evil out there. And I see this as having all those seeds to do precisely that. It will be passed. It will cause some problems, maybe some serious ones, and it will be eventually repealed, I hope. But I would suggest we not pass it in the first place. I suggest we think about dealing with those issues and those problems on a more fundamental basis. Economic problems should be dealt with from an economic standpoint and solutions there. We should be seeing not what we can do to people, but what we can do for our economy. This is a bill that does something to somebody, anticipates some problem and says, if you do this, we will do this. Unfortunately, when you have these very, very broad bills, very broad bills, they end up being what I call in the eye and mind of the beholder. Senator Higgins has one interpretation of what the bill does. Senator Beutler has another. Senator Chambers has another. And I am going to offer you just one...another one here. And in each case maybe they are right, but criminal laws with severe criminal penalties and threats are not supposed to be that way. They are supposed to be very specific and say what is forbidden so that you and I know exactly and so that it is punishable, not this broad blanket that just creates a fear out there as to what conduct is forbidden, but a specific thing that you can say, this is not allowed, such as, you shall not go through stop signs, you shall not shoot other people and kill them. You shall not do these things. That is not what these kind of bills do. Let me just play an example with you. For any person, forbidden conduct, to teach or demonstrate to any other person the use, application or making of any firearm, explosive or incendiary device, or any technique capable of causing injury or death to persons when such person knows or has reason to know or intends that such information or ability, or ability, will be unlawfully employed for use in or in furtherance of a civil disorder. How about the entire Civil Rights Movement? How about the entire Civil Rights