

placed under that definition. If the disturbance could be noise, you could have athletic events, you could have a football game which certainly is noisy. It certainly offers the imminent injury or damage to a person. Boxing would fall under it. Wrestling, karate, judo, all of these things fall under that definition if they are conducted in public. There is no definition of these individual words and I want you to think seriously about that term "civil disorder" because it is going to have more impact later on in the bill. When they go down further, you notice that there doesn't have to be anything unlawful about the activity involved in this civil disorder. There does not have to be the intent by anybody to create a civil disorder. None of that, just a general meaningless definition that puts a lot of words together to make it seem like something is being said when, in fact, it is not. If a police officer engages in a high-speed chase, that certainly can create a public disturbance. There certainly is the threat of injury to persons and property. That would qualify as a civil disorder because, remember, there doesn't have to be anything unlawful about the conduct nor does the person engaged in it have to intend it. If a fire department would set a house afire or some other structure for training purposes, that would qualify as a civil disorder. That is why they didn't want to make a civil disorder a crime in itself because it also involves too much conduct that is legal. So you are giving an open-ended authority to an officer to use total discretion in whatever situation he or she decides to exercise it and, remember, there is nothing in the bill about these so-called hate groups. The definition of an incendiary device which you will find in lines 11 and 15 will apply to a cigarette lighter, a breakable container with a substance that can be set afire and a wick, and that would fit a cigarette lighter.

PRESIDENT: About one minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So if a parent showed a child how to use a cigarette lighter, that is a technique. The lighter is an incendiary device. Any sensible parent would have reason to know that a child is likely to use this to start a fire in the house but there are parents who show their children how to use cigarette lighters. They light them in the presence of the child. That is teaching the technique. Our friends will argue that the intent of the parent is not to cause a civil disorder but you don't have to have an intent. You