

There is no doubt about it. But the same is true with dealing in drugs and dealing in a whole number of areas. The danger in this bill is not that it is going to cause innocent people to be prosecuted or persecuted. The danger is that it will not be useful because it will require very professional police work. That is the danger. So if anything, this bill does too little, not too much. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

PRESIDENT: Thank you, Senator. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman, Senator Beutler is right when he says read carefully the bill and listen carefully to what is said. He mentioned that the coffee shop example I gave could not occur because there is conduct needed that can't occur in a coffee shop. If you look at the top of page 3, for any person to teach any other person, not just firearms but any technique capable of causing injury. That puts the person in a position to come under this bill and the mind reading on the part of the officer comes in when he attributes a certain intent to the people talking about or instructing in these techniques. There is no overt action of any kind needed at all, and even if the Legislature should decide to be stampeded into passing this bill, I want to put enough in the record to show how ridiculous the bill is and how improperly the Legislature acted in putting it into law. If you look at page 2, you have some definitions and the first one they are trying to define is civil disorder, and you will notice that a civil disorder is not illegal. There is no crime in this bill if you create a civil disorder, and what shall civil disorder mean? A disturbance involving acts of violence. What form does the disturbance take? Is it a lot of noise? Is it a lot of people? It doesn't have to be a lot of people, it can be one individual, and the peculiar thing is that they say involving acts of violence which causes an immediate danger of injury. So they are separating an act of violence from injury which means that as they envision the word "violence", there does not have to result any injury or damage. So the word "violence", since there does not have to be a resultant injury or damage, could just mean vigorous activity or whatever other general term the word "violence" means, but based on this definition of it being a disturbance involving acts of violence which causes an immediate danger of or results in damage or injury to persons or property, consider the things that could be