

vote of the people, they should have got started immediately after the people spoke. Did we spend one whole morning, two whole mornings, two days discussing LR 16? Did we not hear both sides of it then? How many more times are we going to discuss the repeal of 300? First we had LR 16, and it didn't advance. Then you asked for a motion to reconsideration. We debated it again. Now you are asking us to do a study and charge the taxpayers \$20,000 to do a study. In other words, it's let's keep this up until we get it the way we want it, we being the people that Senator DeCamp represents. I don't know who he represents. The letters I have received, asking me to vote to keep Initiative 300, have all been from urban people...or from rural people. I haven't had any realtors or bankers asking me to do anything on it. I've only had the people out in the country saying please, please vote to keep Initiative 300. But I'm just wondering, if this has been of such great concern because there is more in it than land ownership, where have those people been all these years? Why are we suddenly going to do a quick study on something that Senator DeCamp says is the most important thing probably to ever come before us? In fact, in retrospect, I don't think Senator DeCamp ever has a bill that he doesn't call the most important thing that ever comes before us. I'm not belittling Initiative 300. I'm just saying what will be the next most important thing that we have to cuss and discuss four or five times, set up a special task force, appropriate another \$20,000 until John DeCamp gets his way. I have not voted for any of these amendments. I'm not going to vote for the amendments. I'm not going to vote for the resolution to do the study. I think it is a joke and it is a hoax. It is exactly what Senator Chambers called it, nothing but buying time, buying time. We've wasted too much time. We've got a budget to consider. We have other bills to consider. Senator DeCamp, it would seem to me that if this were so important, you would have been in the Legislature last week getting organized for your study. There would be more time spent working on this. We would have had a study in our hands last year, the year before, that would have convinced us to repeal this. But we have not seen such a study. We have seen your studies, your ideas, but we have not seen any kind of a study that I would consider an impartial one. So, again I say, I will not vote for the resolution. I will not vote for any amendments to it. I think it is a joke, and I think Chambers called it right when he said it is just buying time. But I say,