

February 10, 1986 LB 1012

morning. There was an error on page 6 of the original bill as originally drafted that did, in fact, on line 23 and 24, say, "not to exceed any one year 3.5 cents on each \$100," et cetera. That was, in fact, a clerical error, Senator Vickers. Everywhere else, on 6 occasions, 7 cents is the number used.

SENATOR VICKERS: So that should be 7 cents instead of 3.5 cents?

SENATOR LYNCH: It was always 7 cents, and is at the present time 7 cents per 100.

SENATOR VICKERS: Oh, okay.

SENATOR LYNCH: But on page 6 I congratulate Senator Nelson, and you, and others for noticing and, in fact, obviously, reading the bills...

SENATOR VICKERS: Thank you, Senator Lynch.

SENATOR LYNCH: ...to point that clerical error to us.

SENATOR VICKERS: Thank you. Some of us do read the bills. Some of us particularly read the bills when what goes around comes around. And there was a lot of discussion on this floor a few days ago, last...a few days last week, or one day last week about greedism and creepism and raising the tax levy limit because of falling valuation. Most of that concern was coming from a number of people who are interested in this particular piece of legislation. Now, as I understand the situation, the valuation is actually raising, or it is not declining at least in the metropolitan area. So at least the argument can't be made that we were making the other day that we had to have a levy increase because the valuations were going down. I guess the argument here is we have to have a levy increase because the state is not putting in as much money. I'm going to support the bill, but I just want to point out the fact that some of us have different problems in different areas of this state, and sometimes we have to address those problems as best we can. I would also point out that the amendment I offered last week, on LB 172, would to some degree have perhaps resolved, if not all of these problems, would have helped to resolve a lot of them. But I would once again point out to a few of my good colleagues the necessity to sometimes try