

resolution and this is on your desk. It has just been put there and it is a variation of what is in the Journal under my name. It is 203, as identified by your upper right-hand corner and upper right-hand corner should be resolution 0203, it will say, and it strikes the provisions of the Conway amendment and substitutes these provisions, provisions that are the same with the one that I have introduced and a little ways down the way is the fact that, number one, it numbers no more than 12, the members of the task force; number two, that they will be citizens chosen by the Governor rather than State Senators on an investigative committee; three, that they will have support and assistance from the agricultural and economic research capabilities of the University of Nebraska, something which is apparently ignored by the Conway resolution, indicates that there will be public hearings around the state for fact-finding and information-gathering purposes to make sure that we do this rigorously; fifth, that they will prepare a document, a report for the Governor and the Legislature; and, sixth, and this is important because I know I have talked to you, some of you, who have said, no, we have got to have a time line here, Dave. Let me read to you provision 6 because it was suggested to me actually by Senator Remmers who said, I like a lot of the other provisions but don't we have to tie this thing up? Don't they at least have to report to us at some appropriate time? And the words of Section 6 say that the task force shall report its progress together with any findings or recommendations to date by March 25, 1986, in time for the Legislature to consider any such recommendations this session. They may indicate what information remains ungathered and unanalyzed, which I think is an important fact, and they may make majority and minority findings. Now, why these provisions? Why the March 25th deadline? Why is it a progress report? Why do you ask them what information they haven't gathered? Why do you ask them to go around the state and hold hearings? Because what I think we want here is a credible task force, not a sham, not one run out of the room and brought back in as a lobbying device. You all know, like I do, that sometimes when it gets sticky and one side wants to win, they will say, wait a second, what does it take to get your vote? Well, what happened the other day was some people said, hey, I am not ready to vote on this because I don't know all the facts and I think we ought to gather the facts first. And, lickety-split, bingo, out comes the study resolution and it has got a March 1 deadline on it. All