

discussion of the issues which has been had so far. I am concerned that this simply is part of a long litany of amendments. I would suggest that if there are any more amendments, we simply suspend the rules and deal with the passing of the bill on. More than adequate and fair debate has been afforded both the majority and the minority, in my opinion, and, I, for one, intend to oppose the amendment and at this point regard there to be nothing new to the debate other than rhetorical excess. And the issues which have been succinctly placed before are simply being repeated. I am ready to move on the issue. I bet the body is as well.

SENATOR MARSH: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam Chairman, I am being recognized to speak, not to close, because I want some time. It delights me when somebody builds a net and catches themselves in it or digs a hole and falls into it. I remember not very long ago that Senator Landis, the one who spoke with such righteous indignation, put on a filibuster of a bill related to Christian schools. He pulled out a book of poetry and read in such a dramatic fashion, as he is trained to do because he is an actor, and sometimes it is hard to distinguish when a person who is an actor is acting for the stage and is acting from principle. But you all know I will not hesitate to do what I am doing. I have done it before and when others do it I don't stand up self-righteously and say, they shouldn't do this. Senator Beutler has done it before and he is a stickler for the rules but when it has been necessary he has put tons of amendments up there. But I am really surprised at Senator Landis. Now somebody of my advanced age might forget that he had done such a thing but he is not as far from the date of his birth as I am from mine and the further you get from the date of your birth, the less secure your memory becomes. But I am sure he remembers that. He filibustered and he read poetry. I am not reading poetry. I am discussing issues and I intend to continue discussing them, and he is right, there is a series of amendments and I am going to argue them as people argue about things that they think are important to them like LR 16. Yes. Senator Landis, seems to think that the more people are interested in an idea, the more validity it has. Validity of an idea is not determined by how many people bow the knee to it. Ideas have meaning to those who hold those ideas and some people cut and run when the majority says you should cut and run. But I am shocked that he doesn't know