

contractor, an independent subcontractor. Senator Hannibal, I'm sure, in his business deals with independent carpenters who are self-employed with their own truck and their own tools, and they hire out on one job and another. How about the self-employed electrician who hires out from one job to another? He doesn't work for a company, he works on his own and he hires out. And how about the self-employed plumber? How about all the other kinds of people, the self-employed salesman, the self-employed insurance agents, individuals who, because they don't work for a specific boss and cannot come within the first provision of the bill that you have to show by clear and convincing evidence that you require the use of a motor vehicle in the course of your employment and you lose your job, your boss will fire you, because they won't fit within that category. Senator Chambers wants to take out another category that would take care of those people. Because, Senator Chambers, the electricians and the plumbers and the carpenters don't contribute to Americana, is that your argument? Now, Senator Chambers, if you are angry because we took the big truck drivers out, then let's have a motion to strike that amendment. But let's don't further take out other people that ought to have the benefits of this bill because you are angry about a different issue. Now I think this is a good bill. It is entitled, it is designed so that people who, along with everyone else, suffer that 60 day license suspension, aren't also going to have to lose their jobs and be punished more severely than the average person for that reason. It's not going to weaken the drunk driving bill. It allows a very narrow, careful exception so that there won't be punishment on top of punishment for that 3 percent of the people out there that are going to lose their job and their families are going to suffer as a result. That is what this bill is about. This was not an elitist bill. This was for the average working man in this state who is going to be penalized more than anyone else. I think for Senator Chambers to take his anger out, because of a different amendment, in this form doesn't make sense at all. I ask you to reject it. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Senator Nichol.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I, too, rise to support Senator Chambers' amendment. Why do I rise to support Senator Chambers' amendment? Because it is a fair amendment, it is not discriminating, such as the