

deficiency payments, if we eliminate government and drop the price down low enough, that this will save agriculture, when in fact the other countries of the world that have the surplus commodities that have been spoken to here will simply subsidize their farmers. We will break our farmers, we will not have an agriculture infrastructure and someone else will be raising those crops. Our small towns will be eliminated and our social fabric will come apart in the State of Nebraska.

PRESIDENT: Thirty seconds.

SENATOR HARRIS: And yet we have been sold, what I call, this bill of goods that this is what we need. I am telling you we do not need this Initiative 300. It will not be a threat to the small farmer, the independent farmer or rancher or cattle feeder if we make a provision and do the alteration necessary to allow for the free flow of capital so that we can have this food processing, and as referred to earlier, this red meat factory going at full bore. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, I am going to vote no on this reconsideration motion, not because I am tired of reconsiderations which I am, not because we have been using them all the time this session and last session and it seems that we can't seem to make a decision one day that we keep another. I am not going to vote against it because we really don't have a very good balancing of interest out here, that we are not in a position to make reasoned compromises, that we are locked in a winner take all mentality, which I think is true, but that is not why I am voting no. I am voting no on this reconsideration and on LR 16 because this body hasn't done its homework. We do not have a case as yet to put before the public. Now we do have some instances, we have got some cases out there. Lee Rupp read a couple of them a little earlier today and they are very important, they are significant to me, but they are anecdotes, they are episodes, and what we are doing today and we did the other day is to trade anecdotes and platitudes. You tell me your platitude and then I will tell you mine. You give me your example and I will give you a contrary example with very little clash and no substantive examination of the body of