

reckless driving. Sometimes perhaps the evidence isn't strong enough, but whatever it is, many of those judges will admit that it is reduced from drunk driving to reckless driving. I don't believe we should do this. But again, I'm opposed to the amendment because it makes the bill more palatable by saying that the big truck drivers can't do this, but the little truck drivers can do it. That's discrimination, it shouldn't be done. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Senator Haberman.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Mr. President, members of the body, what we're saying here is the local plumber, for example, has a wife and three children and if he loses his jobs, his kids don't eat. That's what we're saying. We're saying, if we don't make an exception, if we don't grant him an employment permit, we're taking away his ability to support his family. Right? That's what we're saying. Maybe that may be the outcome, but how many chances should they get? How many chances should somebody get? Driving is a privilege. Don't forget that. Now everybody who gets behind the driver to drive an automobile owes society some responsibility, every person owes society a responsibility. The plumber knows when he sits down to drink his beer or his glass of Scotch, let him think about that when he drinks it that he can lose his driving permit. Now, how many people, how many school children do we have to kill, how many people...and what about the family of where the father of another family gets killed by a drunken driver? He not only loses his job, he loses his life. What's that family going to do? What are they going to do? It's gone forever. The man who loses his job driving can get another job, but the other dad is dead or the mother is dead or the children are dead. When somebody sits down to drink, let them think what happens, if they get drunk, if they kill somebody, they are going to lose their license. I think that we should reject this amendment and reject the bill. I ask you to think how many chances are you going to let a drunk drive down the highway? Once, twice? That's the intent of the law, is to make them think, is to make people think when they get drunk. So I ask you to reject the amendment. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Senator Chambers, do you wish to speak again on the amendment?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the