

side in with the Appropriations Committee because I feel that we need to do some long-range planning. We need to set our budget up a couple of years in advance. I think this is a good move. It really isn't going to be that long because we'll be able to amend it the next year. It specifically calls for that in the bill. We do a little long-range planning, like with the State Highway Department, with some other agencies, also some local government does long-range planning. So I believe this bill would be a good bill. I don't think we ought to kill it. I think we ought to leave it here and probably discuss it a little more and before the session is over advance it and approve it. Thank you.

SENATOR LAMB: Senator Haberman.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Mr. President, members of the body, I just wanted to get something clear in my mind. Senator Warner, would you please be so kind as to answer a question for me. Senator Warner, I think you indicated that we would save thousands of dollars, or words to that effect, if this bill passed and we met on appropriation issues semi-annually. Is that correct or not?

SENATOR WARNER: That is my judgement, Senator.

SENATOR HABERMAN: All right. Thank you, Senator Warner. Mr. President, members of the body, the rules say no bill which has a fiscal impact shall be heard by a committee or considered on General File unless the fiscal note is attached. That is what the rules say. LB 258 has stamped on it "No Fiscal Impact". So, to say that I'm confused is being very mild and I'm keeping my voice very quiet. So, therefore, I would like to ask a ruling of the Chair, it's been stated on the floor, there is a fiscal impact to this bill. It is not attached and, therefore, according to the rules we cannot consider it on General File. So, therefore, I ask the Chair to rule whether we should even be considering this bill or not.

SENATOR LAMB: The Chair appreciates the fact that you are keeping your voice under control, Senator Haberman. But under the circumstances I would rule that there is a fiscal note there since it is stamped on the bill that there is no fiscal impact, despite some of the arguments on the floor. That is the impact as the Fiscal Office has determined so, therefore, I would rule that we are within the rules, and