

policy decisions that we as a legislative body might have. I say this because...let's say for example, that the university, I think it was brought up, that that budget is set for two years. All right, the university has a chance to plan for two years, plan tuition, plan cash flows, plan federal funds, plan their staffing, their raises, their programs, plan everything for two years, realizing that that budget is subject to review. Presently it is subject to review on a monthly basis the way we've acted in the last year, but certainly subject to review on an annual basis even though it is set two years out. If we go with the two-year plan, nothing precludes this body from changing that still monthly, weekly, and certainly not annually, but it would allow us to focus on the areas of state government, political subdivisions or agencies of government or entitlement programs or what have you. It would allow us to focus on those agencies that need the review and allow us to let the agencies or subdivisions of government that we deem proper to continue as they are...

SENATOR LAMB PRESIDING

SENATOR LAMB: Thirty seconds, Senator.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: ...do not have review and thereby let us do a better job of managing the taxpayers dollars. I would strongly urge that you do not indefinitely postpone LB 258, that you get involved in the arguments and you understand the pros and cons. When you look at that situation you'll find the pros of the bill...

SENATOR LAMB: Your time has expired.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: ...thank you, sir, the pros of the bill do outweigh the cons. Please do not kill LB 258.

SENATOR LAMB: The Chair recognizes Senator Harris.

SENATOR HARRIS: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I heard the word nonsensical, I believe and a reference to the arguments for the kill motion and I would submit that we are going through an exercise here which is not nonsensical, but it is not a meaningful and realistic exercise because we cannot at the present time project out two years as far as our programs and our revenues on a realistic basis and we ought to recognize that and kill this