

counseling degree itself, whether that person is a volunteer, many volunteers are also qualified counselors. But the consumer has a right to know, and if you are going to insist on putting it into statute, then it should have both qualifications it seems to me.

SENATOR SMITH: All right, thank you, Senator Marsh. I guess that I have a problem right now with really understanding the value of either of the amendments because of the fact that, you know, we've been listening to the agitated state of mind of the woman and I can understand that that would be a very, very traumatic experience for a woman. I wonder what woman coming into an abortion clinic who has already prearranged, or into a hospital, has already prearranged an abortion is going to be in a state of mind as you say, if she has been given Valium. What difference does either amendment going to basically make by that time? If someone is going to be talking to her at that time, fifteen minutes before she has an abortion, it is too late, I think, for either of these to do that much good except that I do see some merits in the fact that if they're going to receive some information that it should be from someone who is qualified and that is the reason I did question the last sentence, Senator Marsh, because I think that someone handing me a piece of paper at that point saying I'm not really qualified to do this isn't going to make any difference to me anyway. I may not even realize what she is giving me at that point, or he, if the counselor is a male. So I'm right now still struggling as to whether or not I will support either of the amendments or both and, Senator Labeledz, I have a question of you now.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Yes, Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH: It appears to me that, I mean it is very obvious to me, I should say I guess that you do oppose the Marsh amendment being attached to your amendment. Would you please explain to me, and maybe some of the other people who are confused here, why?

SENATOR LABEDZ: As I said, perhaps Senator Marsh's amendment would be a good idea in some other sections other than the abortion section or the criminal code and I say this because, Senator Smith, for instance, if in an emergency pregnancy, if a young woman goes to the priest, an unwed mother, say a minor, or a minister, is he supposed to