

compare my understanding with yours. As I read the current language it says that as part of informed consent you would tell somebody about agencies and services that are available. In the second one you would say that there are agencies or services that are available. In other words, you don't tell them what the agencies are, what the services are, but that someplace, somewhere, somehow they exist.

SENATOR HALL: Senator Landis...

SENATOR LANDIS: Does that comport with your understanding of the difference between those two amendments?

SENATOR HALL: Not exactly.

SENATOR LANDIS: Okay.

SENATOR HALL: Would you like me to explain...

SENATOR LANDIS: Please.

SENATOR HALL: ...my understanding of them?

SENATOR LANDIS: Okay.

SENATOR HALL: I would see that they would be told of the agencies in both the first and the second draft, my current draft. There would be no specific agencies that would be listed. In other words, there would be no pressure on the physician to have his or her own choice or preference in terms of an agency that they may want to suggest. They would list various agencies and that they are available for the prevention of future unintended pregnancies.

SENATOR LANDIS: I understand. Thank you.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Is there any further comment on the Hall amendment? If not, do you have any further closing, Senator Hall? The motion is the adoption of the Hall amendment that has been explained. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay. We are voting on an amendment offered by Senator Hall to LB 663. Twenty-five votes are required because we are on Select File. Have you all voted? Record the vote, Mr. Clerk.