

January 17, 1986 LB 298, 374

include prejudgment interest at that point?

SENATOR HALL: Senator Barrett, it does open the same section of law and the bill that I amended 298 into last year happened to be the prejudgment interest bill. I have no intention of offering an amendment on Select File. The opportunity is there if you would like to do it.

SENATOR BARRETT: Thank you, Senator Hall, I would be the last person in the world that would attempt such an amendment. But I appreciate your straightforward answer. I guess I would at this point simply remind the body that this bill could be dynamite. I will support the advancement from General to Select File with a cautionary statement that...look out on Select File. Thank you, Mr. President.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Would you like to close, Senator Hall?

SENATOR HALL: I would just move the adoption of the bill

SPEAKER NICHOL: The question is the advancement of the bill. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays on the motion to advance the bill, Mr. President.

SPEAKER NICHOL: The bill is advanced. We will move on to LB 374.

CLERK: Mr. President, 374 was a bill offered by Senator Sieck. (Read title.) The bill was read on January 18, referred to the Public Works Committee, advanced to General File. There are committee amendments pending.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Schmit, please.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Yes, Mr. President, the committee amendment makes two changes in the bill that was introduced by Senator Sieck. First, it reduces the allowable per diem from \$25.00 per day as in the bill, to the current level of \$15.00 per day. And, second, it deletes the requirement that expense reimbursements be the same as those which are reimbursed to state employees. Under the current law, when the Lower Platte NRD...Lower Platte South NRD has a meeting here in Lincoln, for example, the members of the board who reside in