

their time consecutively instead of allowing them to be able to go in and serve their time on the days that they have off. I think that is a better approach to it anyway because I know many people who don't drink at all during the week but come Friday night they drink because they know they don't have to go to work Saturday and they may drink Saturday watching the football games and maybe even on Sunday. Now, if they were not required to serve their time consecutive days, they could be doing their time on weekends and in that way they would not lose their jobs and you would have them out of the bars also. And for this reason I am not going to support the bill. Whether it is a first offense, second offense, or third offense, I don't think it is right to take away a man or a woman's ability to earn a living. The fact that we have this bill before us today making so many changes shows that the first time it was drafted, like so many bills in the Legislature, they went overboard. They took away your driving permit for life on third offense and as a result Senator Hoagland said people were moving out of the state and going to other states and getting licenses and maybe living in other states and they might very well have been productive citizens then too. I am confused by broadening the range of sentences available in cases involving driving while intoxicated and implied consent violations such that the current penalties would become the mandatory minimum. Why I am confused is the Judiciary Committee did not want a mandatory minimum on some child pornographers. I don't understand that. You mean to tell me to get into a car and drive and knock down a telephone pole while your are drunk, you ought to have a mandatory sentence for that. But to take an innocent child and force them, entice them, induce them to commit the most obscene acts...

SPEAKER NICHOL: One minute.

SENATOR HIGGINS: ...we don't have a mandatory minimum for that. But for somebody who goes out and gets drunk, we need a mandatory minimum. I have got a new bill coming up this year that is going to try and raise the penalty for people who have pornographic literature with the intent to deliver or sell from a misdemeanor to a felony and I am hoping that the Judiciary Committee, in its omniscience, will advance that bill out of the committee so that we can do it because God knows what we have been reading in the paper proved my point to a year ago that there are rings of pornographic