

understand full well the problem the correctional officers have also when people will smuggle in dope, and cocaine, and weapons. So from that standpoint, I can see the need for the privacy not to be the same as you and I enjoy, but by the same token, there is a certain amount of human decency here I think that needs to be considered. At this time, the way the bill is written, I have mixed emotions because, as I say, I understand the problems of the correctional officers in the institutions but I also think that we ought to consider decency and morality in granting privacy. So I will tell you what I will do, Senator, I will vote to advance the bill today and then by Select File perhaps you might put something in here that would grant the right to privacy for such as the items like I mentioned, when taking a shower, or at least provide that while women are taking showers, there will not be any male officers present if they are going to insist that they not even have shower curtains. Thank you.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Landis, please.

SENATOR LANDIS: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, interesting problem. There are three theories that we are covering in our Right to Privacy Statute. One of them is where your picture or name is appropriated for somebody else's economic benefit. Jarvis Redwine, for example, had his picture taken out, blown up in a poster, and sold by the guys who were making the poster, and Jarvis Redwine got no money from them. Jarvis said, don't you think you are making a little more money than I am out of the deal, aren't you going to cut me in on this, and that was the case of the right of privacy, that you controlled your own physical resemblance for a commercial purpose. The one that is in question here, however, is the one that says you are entitled to seclusion, to solitude, if you will, to not have your solitude, your physical solitude invaded. Now a good example of a transgression of that one occurred in Lincoln that I am familiar with in which a woman got an apartment, rented the apartment, only to find out that in the nozzle of her shower, the landlord, a randy young man, had borne out a small peephole. Now there was no infringement on her space, there was no trespass. There is no method of recovery other than her suit for invasion of her right of privacy. She apparently...there was some noise up above at one time, some sort of clamoring sound upon the first time that she used the shower, and about five minutes later the landlord