

wording that is used on the violation definition with that kind of a penalty. I guess even Section G, I believe it is, which doesn't have a criminal penalty, is so vague that upon reading it, I can't even really understand if Senator Vickers has had a problem with this kind of thing or it's a fear of a problem in the future. Senator Vickers, could you respond to that?

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Vickers.

SENATOR VICKERS: I think the discussion this morning indicates the problems, Senator Pirsch. We're all afraid that we might somehow be construed as influencing what happens. The problem I have, and I'll be very honest about it...

SENATOR PIRSCH: This was in Section G...

SENATOR VICKERS: The problem I have with the whole concept...

SENATOR PIRSCH: ...which is the advertising...

SENATOR VICKERS: ...with the whole bill, no, I don't have any problem with the advertising except that I do share Senator Harris's concerns that it is growing more in that area. The problem I have is because I do want public radio in my part of the state which you've got and I don't and I helped pay for, and I think I'd ought to have it. But one of the biggest arguments from the broadcasting industry is control over public broadcasting by the political process. If we don't want control over it, we'd ought to say so. That's what the bill is all about.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Okay.

SENATOR VICKERS: Now if the language is too broad for some folks, then I'm willing to work on the language, but I would hope that we could all agree at least on the concept.

SENATOR PIRSCH: I see. This is looking forward to the public radio and allaying...

SENATOR VICKERS: The whole argument is against public broadcasting, period.