

January 17, 1986 LB 525  
LR 325

SPEAKER NICHOL: Okay, we'll pass over that and go to the next one, but first, before we continue with the next bill, while the Legislature is in session and capable of transacting business, I propose to sign and do sign LR 325. With that we'll move on to LB 525.

CLERK: Mr. President, 525 was a bill offered by Senator Hoagland. (Read title.) The bill was first introduced last year. It was referred to the Judiciary Committee for hearing. The bill was advanced to General File.

SPEAKER NICHOL: No amendments?

CLERK: Yes, I do.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Hoagland, you take it anyway.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: This bill, Mr. Speaker, is a simple bill that was brought to the Judiciary Committee by the court administrator's office asking that the county court system be relieved of the administrative responsibility of issuing marriage licenses and that that be transferred to the county clerk's office. Now, there is a problem with this bill and we need to work this problem out on Select File. If we can't work it out, why then we'll ask the body to kill the bill on Select File, but I think we can work it out. The problem has to do with the fee, the \$10 fee that is required for filing a marriage license. If that fee, instead of being paid into the county court is paid into the county clerk, it will have a negative impact on state revenues of approximately \$130,000. This is not a year when we can afford negative impacts on state revenues. Chairman Warner and Senator Hannibal and I are going to try and work out that finance problem with the bill. I would ask this body's indulgence in advancing the bill to Select File because it does bring about an administrative change that needs to be effectuated if we can figure out a financial way of doing it this session. So that's what the bill does, Mr. Speaker. I'd ask for its advancement.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Barrett, then Senator Hannibal.

SENATOR BARRETT: Thank you, Mr. President and members, just a word of support for LB 525. This is nearly an identical bill to one that I introduced two years ago. I think it was LB 988 which takes this function from the court system and