

January 16, 1986

saying you know the world is probably going to come to an end because we made some changes, and I don't know why there are so many people in this body that are scared of changes. I can't understand this. I served as an administrator in a community for a few years and occasionally we had department head meetings. The directors of various departments would come in and we would sit down and talk about the work load that was in front of us, talk about the budgets, talk about personnel problems, and upon getting them together, it was an opportunity for this administrator to say to them, and I firmly believe it and have over the years I have served as a public servant, that if we are doing the same things today that we did a year ago and are handling the problems identical to the way they were handled a year ago, then you're behind times. And the directors and department heads were charged to get out and take a real good look in the mirror at themselves and see how we can better serve, and certainly you can serve better by making changes time and time again. I'm not scared of change. I know this would work for the better and then there is one other thing to keep in the back of your mind, that with change, if you don't like it, you can change it. It is not cast in stone and it can be changed at future meetings of this Legislature. I oppose the reconsideration motions and hope you will do likewise. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Warner and Senator Higgins is next.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I'd just like to recomment. Number one, relative to the Ag Committee, personally I think it being identified as a single subject, if it's anything, it probably tends to highlight it rather than detract from it. I can recall when Urban Affairs was started a good many years ago as a single subject which prior to that time was part of Government and it was to highlight it. And I look on this as the same way, but my real, and I would also go back to Constitutional Revision. I was here when all constitutional amendments were distributed to the Standing Committee of subject matter. I've been with both, for quite a period of time under both, and I guess you can make an argument either way as to the assets, but I can tell you when we had the old system of constitutional amendments referred to the appropriate Standing Committee, I do not recall anything adverse about that concept. The change was made in '71. It